Message

From: Watson, Lucinda [Watson.Lucinda@epa.gov]

Sent: 10/22/2019 9:12:26 PM

To: Olszewski, Joshua [olszewski.joshua@epa.gov]
CC: Smith, Suzanne [Smith.Suzanne@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: RA TX RH Briefing

Thanks for the update; I received the SP concurrence task at the same time as your email. I just sent my one and only question on the FRN to you and the "gang."

From: Olszewski, Joshua <olszewski.joshua@epa.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, October 22, 2019 3:28 PM

To: Watson, Lucinda < Watson.Lucinda@epa.gov> **Cc:** Smith, Suzanne < Smith.Suzanne@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: RA TX RH Briefing

We had a briefing with the RA on the Evangeline Parish SO2 NA Area @ 3 pm, and it lasted 30 minutes. We wrapped it up, and Guy noted that most of the same people were involved in Texas RH, so Jennifer Huser joined us and we did the Texas RH briefing at 3:30. I didn't know about the plan to open a conference line for this briefing. I'm sorry that it was not opened up.

I thought the briefing went well. The majority of it was Michael walking us through the history of Texas RH, starting from 2007. He then explained the framework of the SO2 Trading FIP, and how this supplemental notice would make a few changes. The RA had general questions regarding how Texas, industry, and enviro groups felt about the SO2 Trading FIP and the potential changes. He also asked if we consulted with OAR and OGC. He was OK with the approach and did not raise any concerns.

I just concurred on the package.

From: Watson, Lucinda < Watson. Lucinda@epa.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, October 22, 2019 10:17 AM

To: Olszewski, Joshua <<u>olszewski.joshua@epa.gov</u>> **Cc:** Smith, Suzanne <<u>Smith.Suzanne@epa.gov</u>>

Subject: RA TX RH Briefing

Hi Josh,

They didn't open the line yesterday for the RA briefing.

Did he have any concerns with the direction we are going in the FRN that would require a lot of rewriting and coordination with HQs?

Or was he okay with the approach?

I see you have the package for concurrence.

Thanks!